

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

Paper No. 10

COPY MAILED

DEC 1 0 2003

ON PETITION

Maksim Kadiu 19694 Auburn Drive Cupertino CA 19694

In re Application of Maksim Kadiu Application No. 09/543,442 Filed: April 5, 2000

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed February 20, 2003, to revive the above identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the non-Final Office Action mailed August 3, 2001. A shortened statutory period of three months was set for replying to the non-Final Office Action. An extension of time was requested without the required response and thus was not granted. Accordingly, the application became abandoned November 4, 2001 and a Notice of Abandonment was mailed March 21, 2002.

Petitioner files the instant petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b). 1

Additionally, There is no indication that petitioner herein was ever empowered to prosecute the instant application. If petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney documentation must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision will be mailed to petitioner. However, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center 3673 for appropriate action on the amendment filed February 20, 2003.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned Petitions

Attorney at (703) 305-4497.

Patricia Faison-Ball Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

cc: E. Thomas Wheelock 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A <u>grantable</u> petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and